

e-Logistics Tracking Systems Success

Nandian Syarief
Peter Green
Alastair Robb
Fiona Rohde

1

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



E-Logistics

- Tracking items ensures the smooth operation of fulfilling customers' orders.
- Application of e-commerce technologies to logistics, e-logistics, provides opportunities for further cost reduction and differentiation.

2

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



The problem

- Little guidance is available for evaluating the success of tracking system investments.
- Until validated e-logistics tracking systems success measures are established, managers must continue to use rules-of-thumb.

3

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Our Model's Basis

- We present an empirically validated measurement model developed from DeLone and McLean's (D&M) (2003) Information Systems Success Model.
- E-commerce systems span a range of business processes, e-commerce systems' success needs to be evaluated from broader perspectives (Martinsons et al, 1999; Molla & Licker, 2001).

4

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



DeLone & McLean, 2003, JMIS.




Figure 3. Updated D&M IS Success Model

5

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



The D&M model: Extensions?

- IS are regarded as resource or capability that may affect a firm's long term competitiveness, i.e., Strategic attributes are important success dimensions.
- For e-logistics tracking systems, managers are the main users. Consequently, *user satisfaction* contains criteria that managers consider important and would, therefore, be analogous with TSS itself, i.e., an aggregate construct.

6

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Model development


- We posit that *TSS* is a second-order latent construct consisting of seven first-order latent constructs:
 - system quality
 - information quality
 - service quality
 - use
 - institutional trust
 - business value (net benefits) and,
 - future readiness.

7

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Our initial model



8

BUSINESS SCHOOL



Constructs, characteristics, and items

Construct	Characteristic	Number of items	Source
System quality	Usability/ease of use	2	Goodhue & Thompson (1995)
	System reliability	2	Goodhue & Thompson (1995)
	Responsiveness	2	Goodhue & Thompson (1995)
Information quality	Understanding	2	Goodhue & Thompson (1995); Etezadi-Amoli & Farhoomand (1996)
	Content	4	Goodhue & Thompson (1995); Etezadi-Amoli & Farhoomand (1996); ISWORLD-Net (2004); Etezadi-Amoli & Farhoomand (1996)
Service quality	Timeliness	2	Pitt et al. (1995)
	Tangibility of hardware/software	2	Pitt et al. (1995)
	Service reliability	2	Pitt et al. (1995)
Use	Service assurance	2	Torkzadeh & Doll (1999)
	Utilisation	2	Goodhue & Thompson (1995)
Institutional trust	Effective use	2	Goodhue & Thompson (1995)
	Situational normality	3	McKnight et al. (2002); McKnight & Chervany (2001)
	Structural assurance	3	McKnight et al. (2002); McKnight & Chervany (2001)
Business value	Financial performance	3	Zhu & Kraemer (2002); Lederer et al. (2001); SCOR (SCCC, 2005)
	Communication efficiency	2	Lederer et al. (2001)
	Decision performance	2	Swink (1995)
Future readiness	Switching costs	2	Sethi & King (1994)
	Dynamic capabilities	5	Sethi & King (1994); Lederer et al. (2001)
	Preemptiveness	3	Sethi & King (1994)
	Relations	3	Lederer et al. (2001); SCOR (SCCC, 2005)

9

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Method

- Web-based survey with members of LAA and APICS - 47 final, valid response cases
- 80% of respondents were in middle or upper management roles!
- Most respondents rely on either manual systems (39%) or barcode systems (38%) when tracking their logistics items – RFID tags (7%).
- Intranets are the most utilised technology (44.7%) followed by manual technology (fax, snail mail, printouts) (21.3%).
- Post-hoc: interviewed two supply-chain experts.

10

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Table 7 First Order Factors

Panel A Eigenvalues and variance explained of first order factors

Component	Eigenvalues	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	5.95	47.414	47.414
2	2.432	19.260	57.674
3	1.748	13.742	64.366
4	1.272	10.096	71.462

Panel B Final first-order factor solution

Component	Component			
	Business Value	Operational System	Structure and Support	Use
Business value (financial performance)	0.67			
Business value (financial performance)	0.39			
Future readiness (switching costs)	0.23			
Business value (communication efficiency)	0.65			
Future readiness (relations)	0.19			
System (ease of use)	0.25			
System (information accuracy)	0.22			
Future readiness (dynamic capabilities)	0.21			
Information quality (understandability)	0.66			
System quality (system tangibility)		0.66		
Information quality (content)		0.74		
System quality (system reliability)		0.66		
Service quality (service assurance)			0.12	
Service quality (service reliability)			0.17	
System (effective use)				0.68
Use (effective use)				0.71
Use (ease of use)				0.27

*Rotation Method: Principal Component Analysis
Initial Model: Varimax with Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (converged in 7 iterations)

11

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL




Revised model

- Resulting from the two-step factor analyses between the factors and the higher-order TSS, we respecified our TSS model.
- The revised TSS model is reflected by:
 - business value
 - operational system
 - structure and support and,
 - use.
- Each factor was measured or operationalized by the corresponding set of characteristics. Several characteristics were renamed to better fit the construct that they represented.

12

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL





Business value

- Explaining 43 percent of the variance in the characteristics, business value is the primary driver of TSS. Analysis also confirms that characteristics identified in prior research load on this factor;
 - business value (*financial performance*),
 - business value (*communication efficiency*), and
 - business value (*decision performance*)

14 UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL

Business value

- Other characteristics of *future readiness* also loaded onto the same factor. These were:
 - *switching costs*,
 - *dynamic capabilities*,
 - *preemptiveness*
 - *information quality*, and
 - *relations*
- For the logistics tracking system context, *future readiness* and *business value* may not be distinctive constructs.

15 UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL

Operational system

- Operational system is the second factor extracted. It explains 12 percent of total variance.
- Comprised the characteristics used to measure system quality
 - system responsiveness and system reliability
- and information quality
 - information timeliness and content.

16 UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL

Operational system (cont.)

- The convergence of *system quality* and *information quality* possibly indicates that in TS contexts, users do not view system and information as two separate factors.
- When asked an open-ended question about the aspects that made up TSS, aside from business value, operational system was the only factor the experts mentioned.

17 UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL

Structure and support

- Explains nearly 9 percent of total variance, structure and support is the third factor extracted comprising six success measures.
- Formed predominantly by characteristics of *service quality*.
 - *Service reliability*, *service assurance*, and *hardware/software tangibility*.
- Structure and support is broader than *service quality* as it also consists of trust
 - *Structural assurance*
- Measures the environment in which the tracking system operates
 - *E.g., technical, legal, and security support.*

18 UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL

Use

- *Use* is an IS success factor championed by D&M.
- Formed of two characteristics only: *use (effective use) and utilisation*, *use* explains almost 7 percent of total variance.

19

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Second-order factor analysis

- The analysis suggests the formation of a single higher-order factor: *tracking systems success (TSS)* explaining around 55 percent of the first-order factor total variance.

Factor	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	2.227	55.682	55.682	2.227	55.682	55.682
2	.848	21.212	76.894			
3	.558	13.942	90.836			
4	.367	9.164	100.000			

20

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Business value, operational system, structure and support, and use: Principal Component Analysis

	Component 1
Business Value	0.824
Operational System	0.814
Structure and Support	0.767
Use	0.547

21

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Business value

- *Business value* proved to be the most important success factor indicated by the highest loading amongst other factors (43%)
- *Business value* was also the first factor that was mentioned by the experts.
- Consistent with DeLone and McLean's (2004) proposition that net *benefits* is the most important success measure as it captures the balance of both the positive and negative impacts of e-commerce on stakeholders.
- This analysis underscores the argument for the inappropriateness of solely relying on the Supply-Chain Operations Reference model and the Logistics Scoreboard to evaluate TSS, as they focus heavily on operational rather than strategic elements, and may not reflect *business value*.

22

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL




Performance measures

- BSC
 - Financial perspective
 - Customer perspective
 - Internal business perspective
 - Innovation and learning perspective
- SCOR
 - Cycle time metrics
 - Cost metrics
 - Service/quality metrics
 - Asset metrics
- Logistics Scoreboard
 - Logistics financial performance
 - Logistics productivity performance
 - Logistics quality performance
 - Logistics cycle time performance

23

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL




Structure and support

- *Operational system* (12%) (system quality and information quality) is widely regarded as an important success measure (D & M, 2003).
- While explaining 9% of total variance, *structure and support* is less important in representing TSS than *business value* and *operational system*.
- *Structure and support* likely explains why the system's environment and support have been overlooked in past IS success studies. The original D&M model does not include a measure of structure. The revised D&M model includes *service quality* to measure system's support, but still does not consider structural aspects.
- Structure and support's relative lack of importance as a factor in TSS may arise from the commoditisation of technology and confidence in its structure, particularly as systems become more robust and standards proliferate.
- *Use* (7%) as success measure is widely supported in the literature.

24

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Was TSS actually measured?

- The composite measure of TSS was correlated with responses to the question: “Overall, the performance of the system is excellent”.
- There was a positive and significant correlation between TSS and overall excellence (0.747, $p < 0.001$).

25

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Discussion

- Business value is an important measure of TSS, but using SCOR or Logistics Scoreboard only is not a sufficient measure of *business value*.
- To obtain a more complete picture of success consideration should also be given to:
 - operational system,
 - structure and support, and
 - system use.

26

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Discussion

- New systems should be evaluated relative to:
 - the potential business value they could deliver,
 - the systems’ operational aspects,
 - the structure and support for the systems, and
 - the systems’ capability of effective and normal use.

27

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



“The Future of RFID”

Viehland & Wong (2007) *JTAECR*, 2(2), 74-81

- 3 rounds of Delphi study with 4 RFID specialists in retail (3 technical; 1 business manager) in NZ
- 7 factors impacting the success of RFID:
 - Standardisation (1.75)
 - System costs (2.25)
 - Business process re-engineering (2.75)
 - Integration (3.00)
 - Privacy (5.25)
 - Lack of RFID-skilled professionals (5.75)
 - Data warehousing (6.75)

28

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Limitations

- The usual caveats associated with:
 - Questionnaire-based research
 - Small sample size
 - The requirement for screening and selection of characteristics that represent the constructs.

29

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Where to from here?

- Require a more detailed examination of the *business value* factor using a larger proportion of managers who are focused on strategic decisions.
- Examine the applicability of the model to other areas, e.g., general IS and e-commerce systems success.
- Investigation of the causal relationships amongst success factors to better understand the interactions between factors and their effects on TSS

30

UQ BUSINESS SCHOOL



Thank you for your comments
and suggestions!